

# 4. Type Checking and Type Inference

Complex Haskell  $\Rightarrow$  Simple Haskell  $\Rightarrow$  Lambda Calculus

Then one should first do type-checking for the obtained  $\lambda$ -term. If it is well typed, it should then be evaluated.

## 4.1. Type Schemas + Type Assumptions

Main problem: polymorphism

An expression like Nil may have several types:

List a, List Int, List Bool, ...

We want to compute the most general type of any  $\lambda$ -term (e.g. List a). Here, the type variable a stands for any possible type. To make this clearer, we now use

type schemas :  $\forall a. \text{List } a$

For pre-defined functions and constructors (e.g., constants  $\mathcal{C}$  of the  $\lambda$ -calculus), we need to know their types before we start

type-checking.

⇒ This information is stored in a type assumption ( $\cong$  "environment" when defining the semantics of Haskell)

The type assumption assigns a type schema to every constant (from  $\mathcal{C}$ ) and every variable (from  $\mathcal{V}$ ) of the  $\lambda$ -calculus.

We start with an initial type assumption  $A_0$ :

$$A_0(\text{not}) = \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$

$$A_0(+ ) = \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$$

$$A_0(5) = \text{Int}$$

$$A_0(x) = \forall a. a \quad (\text{for any } x \in \mathcal{V})$$

we disregard typeclasses here and assume that there are different +-functions for Int, Float, ...

For data  $\text{List } a = \text{Nil} \mid \text{Cons } a (\text{List } a)$ :

$$A_0(\text{Nil}) = \forall a. \text{List } a$$

$$A_0(\text{Cons}) = \forall a. a \rightarrow (\text{List } a) \rightarrow (\text{List } a)$$

Def 1.1.1 (Type Cl. ...)

Def 7.1.1 (Type Schemas and Type Assumptions)

Type schemas are built according to the following

grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{typeschema}} \rightarrow & (\underline{\text{tyconstr}} \underline{\text{typeschema}}_1, \dots, \underline{\text{typeschema}}_n), \quad n \geq 0 \\ & | (\underline{\text{typeschema}}_1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{typeschema}}_2) \\ & | (\underline{\text{typeschema}}_1, \dots, \underline{\text{typeschema}}_n), \quad n \geq 0 \\ & | \underline{\text{var}} \\ & | \forall \underline{\text{var}}. \underline{\text{typeschema}} \end{aligned}$$

For a typeschema  $\tau$  with free variables  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we write  $\forall \tau$  for  $\forall a_1 \dots \forall a_n. \tau$ .

A type assumption  $A$  is a (possibly partial) function from  $\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{C}$  to the set of type schemas.

A type assumption  $A$  with  $A(x_i) = \tau_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  which is undefined on other arguments is also written

$$A = \{x_1 :: \tau_1, \dots, x_n :: \tau_n\}.$$

The initial type assumption  $A_0$  is defined on [Slide 59](#).

Here, we assume that constr is a user-defined data constructor introduced by:

data tyconstr  $a_1 \dots a_m = \dots$  ( constr type<sub>1</sub>  $\dots$  type<sub>n</sub> )  $\dots$

For two type assumptions  $A$  and  $A'$ , we define

$$A + A' \text{ as: } (A + A')(x) = \begin{cases} A'(x), & \text{if } A'(x) \text{ is defined} \\ A(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$